(b) Three days, 19th, 20th and 21st August 1930.

(c) He took part in the informal discussions on all the three days.

Sale of certain properties in Edavanakad.

11. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the table of the House.—

(1) the report of the Parvathyam requesting attachment and sale of the property for default;

(2) orders on the same;

(3) the demand notice under section 26 of the Revenue Recovery Regulation and its service and the attachment notice and its public proclamation on the land and the Gazette Notification after the attachment;

(4) the notices of the intended sale under section 38 of the Revenue Recovery Regulation and their services;

(5) the yadast of sale;

(6) copy of the petition to set aside the sale with regard to the sale of properties belonging to "കൊകപ്പാടത്ത അഹമ്മതുവീ ടൻ" referred to in the answer to question No. 559 of the Legislative Session of 1104; and to state

(i) a. who conducted the sale,

b. who were the officers present at the time, and c. where was the sale conducted;

(ii) what were the orders of the Diwan Peishkar in the matter of setting aside the sale;

(iii) under what section of the Revenue Recovery Regulation was the sale set aside;

(iv) a. what were the arrears of tax due before coercive steps were initiated by the Village Parvathyam;

b. what was the date on which the amount of arrears was remitted;

and c. to whom was the amount paid;

(v) a. whether the certificate of sale was issued by the Diwan Peishkar,

and b. if so, when;

c. when was the proclamation of the purchase of land published in the Gazette?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—(1) The report of the Parvathyam is on the demand notice itself, which is placed on the Council table.

(2) Orders on the report are also on the demand notice.

(3) The demand notice and the attachment notice duly published are placed on the Council table. The attachment notice was published in the Gazette dated 8th Makaram 1103.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(4) The notice of sale duly published is placed on the Council table.

(5) Placed on the Council table.

(6) A copy of the petition is placed on the Council table.

(i) Tahsildar of Cochin-Kanayannur.

b. The local Village officers and a Taluk clerk.

c. Edavanakkad Village office.

(ii) Nil.

(iii) Section 61.

(iv) a. Rs. 2—7—0 before demand notice was served on the party. There were, however, no arrears when the Parvathyam submitted the proclamation for sale of lands.

b. 28th Dhanu 1103.

c. Parvathyam of Edavanakad.

(v) a. Yes.

b. 20th Karkadagam 1103.

c. Published in the Gazette dated 27th Karkadagam 1103.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know whether it was the same Parvathyam Gopala Panikkar who received the amount on the 28th of Dhanu 1103 and who submitted all the previous processes.

Mr T. M. Krishna Menon.—I do not follow you.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—From the answer it appears the amount of land revenue was paid on 28th of Dhanu 1103. From all the previous papers placed on the Council Table it will be found that one Gopala Panikkar was the Parvathyam who submitted all the previous processes necessary in this matter. Was this amount paid to that Gopala Panikkar ?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—I want notice.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know whether any action was taken against this Gopala Panikkar ?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—None that I am aware of.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Did not the Tahsildar know at the time the sale was conducted that the arrears were still due ?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—Apparently not.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Still they conducted the sale?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—Without knowing the real facts.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—So they were ignorant of the fact that there were arrears?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—There was some complication about that matter which requires explanation. This is a

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly